



December 19, 2001

Ms. Cynthia J. Hill
Attorney
Texas Department of Information Resources
P.O. Box 13564
Austin, Texas 78711-3564

OR2001-5987

Dear Ms. Hill:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 156449.

The Texas Department of Information Resources ("TDIR") received a written request for information regarding request for offer number 303-1-7208. Although you do not claim any exceptions on behalf of TDIR, you advise us that release of the requested information may raise the proprietary interests of several third parties, Intecom Inc., Southwestern Bell, Verizon, and ActiveLink Communications, Inc. (collectively, "third parties"). You have submitted to this office a copy of the third parties' proposals and advised us that you notified the third parties of the request for information so they could respond directly to this office with their arguments regarding the confidentiality of the proposals. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act in certain circumstances).

As of the date of this letter, however, the third parties have not submitted comments to this office explaining why the submitted information must be withheld. Because the third parties have not provided us with any comments and you do not have an opinion on the matter, we have no basis to conclude that the portions of the submitted proposals you marked are excepted from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary

material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990).

We note, however, that the information contains e-mail addresses. The Seventy-seventh Legislature recently added section 552.137 to chapter 552 of the Government Code. This new exception makes certain e-mail addresses confidential.¹ Senate Bill 694, as passed May 14, 2001, signed by the Governor May 26, 2001, and made effective immediately, provides in relevant part:

Sec. 552.137. CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN E-MAIL ADDRESSES.

(a) An e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.

(b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.

Act of May 14, 2001, 77th Leg., R.S., S.B. 694, § 1 (to be codified at Gov't Code § 552.137). Section 552.137 requires TDIR to withhold an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body, unless the member of the public has affirmatively consented to its release. As there is no indication that the members of the public have consented to release in this instance, TDIR must withhold the e-mail addresses in the submitted documents under section 552.137. We have marked a sample of the e-mail addresses in the documents.

We further note that the submitted information contains social security numbers. Social security numbers may be withheld in some circumstances under section 552.101 of the Government Code. A social security number or "related record" may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained and maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We have no basis for concluding that any of the social security numbers in the file are confidential under

¹House Bill 2589, which also makes certain e-mail addresses confidential, took effect on September 1, 2001. *See* Act of May 22, 2001, 77th Leg., R.S., H.B. 2589, § 5 (to be codified at Gov't Code § 552.136). The language of section 552.136, as added by House Bill 2589, is identical to that of section 552.137.

section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Public Information Act imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number information, you should ensure that no such information was obtained or is maintained by the TDIR pursuant to any provision of law, enacted on or after October 1, 1990. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).


If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Kevin J. White
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KJW/seg

Ref: ID# 156449

Enc. Marked documents

c: Mr. David Jantz
Regional Sales Manager
NEC Business Network Solutions, Inc.
1624 West Walnut Hill Lane
Irving, Texas 75038
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Khatonia McCarty
Contract Negotiator
Intecom, Inc.
5057 Keller Springs Road
Addison, Texas 75001-8123
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Marcus Montemayor
Strategic Account Manager
Southwestern Bell
712 East Huntland, Suite 137
Austin, Texas 78752
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Donny Bishop
Verizon
816 Congress, Suite 1500
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Stephen Young
General Manager - South Texas
Activelink Communications
2315 West Sam Houston Parkway North, Suite 161
Houston, Texas 77043
(w/o enclosures)